



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,918	08/07/2001	Tadatoshi Asada	P 282780 57170-US-SuS/nh	9011
909	7590	06/13/2003		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>Office Action Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/922,918	ASADA ET AL.
Examiner	Julio C. Gonzalez	Art Unit
		2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5, 6 .

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of restriction in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are related to each other and would not be a burden if all of the claims were searched together. This is not found persuasive because for example invention I deals with the switching and regulation of the semiconductor element and comparing a transition period while invention II deals with a charge pump boosting circuit that supplies a higher gate voltage than an output voltage. All voltage regulators are not design to perform in such way. Moreover, having a diode with a short recovery (claim 7) time like the one disclose in invention III is not related to any of the invention described.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is disclosed that the switching voltage control means controls a current during a former stage of a turn-off transition period of the semiconductor element to be larger than that during a latter stage. Is the claim implying that the first period is larger/longer than the second stage period? Or is the claim implying that the first period will be longer than all subsequent periods? Are there a total of two periods only (former and latter)? Is the current control only when the device is off? What is meant specifically by “a former stage of a turn-off transition period”?

In claim 2, what are the stages measured in? Volts? Amps? Time? How are the stages compared to each other?

In claim 3, what is meant by a “follower operation”?

In order to advance prosecution in the merits, the Prior Art will be applied as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada (US 5,629,606) in view of Rogers.

Asada discloses a voltage regulator for a vehicle (see abstract) having a field coil 23 connected in parallel to a flywheel element 25 and a semiconductor element 24a connected to the field coil 23 (see figure 1). Also, Asada discloses a voltage regulating means 24 for controlling the semiconductor element to be turned on/off. Moreover, a switching control means is disclosed (see claims 1, 3, 6 and abstract). However, Asada does not disclose explicitly that some of the transition periods may be larger than others.

On the other hand, Rogers discloses for the purpose of reducing fuel consumption and emission in vehicles that a voltage regulator circuit may have transitional periods off that are larger than other off transitional periods (column 14, lines 21-28) depending on a particular need.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a voltage regulator as disclosed by Asada and to modify the invention by having different off transitional periods for the purpose of reducing fuel consumption and emission in vehicles as disclosed by Rogers.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg

June 4, 2003

